

THEORY OF LOCALIZATION IN A DISORDERED RING IN A NON-HERMITIAN FIELD

J.Heinrichs*

Institut de Physique B5, University of Liege,
Sart-Tilman, B-4000 Liege, Belgium

Abstract

We present an analytical study of the Lyapunov exponent λ for electronic states in a disordered tight-binding ring, with N sites of spacing c , in the presence of a non-hermitian field component h , for weak disorder. This system has been proposed by Hatano and Nelson (HN) as a model for the depinning of flux lines bound to columnar defects in a superconducting cylindrical shell in a transverse magnetic field proportional to h . We calculate the Lyapunov exponent to second order in the disorder in the domain of complex energies in an ordered ring in a non-hermitian field. The result exhibits an important symmetry property, $\lambda(-h) = -\lambda(h)$, which reflects the directionality of the non-hermitian localization. By combining the results for λ with a previous calculation of the complex eigenvalues for weak disorder, we show that the localization length of the complex eigenenergy states is generally larger than the circumference (Nc) of the ring. This supports the initial suggestion of HN that these eigenstates are delocalized. Our perturbative treatment of the disorder when applied for an open chain embedded in an infinite non-disordered chain recovers the Thouless formula for the zero-field localization length.

PACS numbers: 72.15 Rn, 74.60 GE, 71.30.+h

*e-mail: J.Heinrichs@ulg.ac.be

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been known since the classic papers of Mott and Twose[1] and of Borland[2] that disorder localizes electronic states in an open linear chain. This observation was reinforced about two decades later, by detailed analytical calculations of the inverse localization length (ILL) for weakly disordered tight-binding open chains [3-7], for energies in the band of the ordered system.

Recently, renewed interest in one-dimensional localization has arisen in two important contexts involving closed circular chains (rings) rather than open linear chains. The first one is the problem of the universal persistent current induced in thin mesoscopic metallic rings threaded by an Aharonov-Bohm flux, which has been studied both theoretically [8-10] and experimentally [11]. The other one relates to flux lines pinned to random columnar defects in a superconducting cylindrical shell[12]. This system can be modelled by a non hermitian quantum hamiltonian for an electron in a disordered ring in the presence of a constant imaginary vector potential (IVP) (proportional to the external magnetic field acting transversely to the flux lines in the superconductor[12]). The localization-delocalization transition (corresponding to a pinning-depinning transition for the flux lines) predicted by Hatano and Nelson[12] at a threshold vector potential, has generated considerable interest from an early stage[13, 14] till recently[15, 16]. Localization in the presence of an imaginary vector potential is referred to specifically as directed localization[13] because, unlike in a real vector potential, the symmetry between right and left moving particles is broken while time reversal symmetry is not.

The previous analyses of the localization-delocalization transition in the non-hermitian hamiltonian of Hatano and Nelson[12-15] are mainly based on the study of the eigenvalues which may be complex. Indeed it has been argued that real eigenvalues correspond to localized states while complex eigenvalues correspond to extended states[12]. In particular, both the analyses of the directed-localization problem and those of the effect of disorder on persistent currents [9,10] use the fact that in zero field the electronic states in a one-dimensional disordered system are localized and correspond to real eigenvalues.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the theory of localization and of directed localization by means of a first principles analytical study of the Lyapunov exponent λ of the electronic states in a weakly disordered tight-binding ring in a non-hermitian field. The ILL in the non-hermitian field, which we shall refer to more specifically as the inverse directed localization length (IDLL), $1/\xi$, is related to λ by $1/\xi = |\lambda|$.

The Schrödinger equation for the hamiltonian of a disordered one-orbital tight-binding ring of circumference Nc (with N the number of sites and c the lattice spacing) in an IVP reduces to the set of equations

$$e^{hc}\varphi_{n+1} + e^{-hc}\varphi_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n\varphi_n = E\varphi_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N-1, \quad (1)$$

$$e^{hc}\varphi_2 + e^{-hc}\varphi_N + \varepsilon_1\varphi_1 = E\varphi_1, \quad (2)$$

$$e^{hc}\varphi_1 + e^{-hc}\varphi_{N-1} + \varepsilon_N\varphi_N = E\varphi_N. \quad (3)$$

Here φ_n is the amplitude of a wavefunction at site n , E and the parameters ε_n are the energy and the random site energies in units of minus the nearest-neighbour

hopping parameter, respectively. The hamiltonian in (1-3) is non hermitian because of the real field parameter h , corresponding to an IVP[12].

In an interesting recent paper, Brouwer *et al.* presented an analytic study of the complex eigenvalues of the non-hermitian tight-binding ring for weak disorder [14]. This study is complemented here by a corresponding analytic study of the IDLL for complex energy states for weak disorder. Our treatment is valid for sufficiently strong fields such that the disorder may be treated perturbatively. The localization length of complex energy states as defined in a ring of infinite circumference ($Nc = \infty$) is generally finite. This is similar to the decay length ξ of the transmission coefficient introduced by Brouwer *et al.* in their analysis of complex eigenvalues which is also found to be finite and given by $\xi = (2|h|)^{-1}$ [14]. However, when applying these results to a finite ring one must consider the restriction on the validity of the perturbation treatment of the disorder which is imposed by the discreteness of the energy spectrum of (1-3). Specifically, the corrections to the zeroth order complex energy levels due to the disorder must be small compared to the level spacing of the ring. Using a previous calculation [17] of the eigenvalues of (1-3) for weak disorder, this condition allows us to show that the localization lengths of the complex eigenenergy states are generally larger than the circumference of the ring at the considered field strengths. These results explicitly support the suggestion of HN [12] that the complex energy states in a finite ring are delocalized.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.II we present the detailed solutions for the amplitudes φ_n in (1-3) for weak disorder, at arbitrary energy E both in the domain of the complex spectrum of the ordered system, and in a range of real energies. From these solutions we obtain the explicit expressions for the Lyapunov exponent for the eigenstates of the ring, for $h \neq 0$, to second order in the random site energies. In Sect.III we first apply a similar perturbation analysis for weak disorder to rederive the Thouless formula for the zero field ILL for the case of an open chain. This further supports the validity of our calculation for the case of a ring. Next we discuss the properties of our general expression for the IDLL and its application to complex eigenenergy states in finite rings. In Sect. IV we conclude with some final remarks.

II. THE NON-HERMITIAN LYAPUNOV EXPONENT FOR WEAK DISORDER

In solving (1-3) recursively, initiating the recursion at site $n = 1$ with an arbitrary φ_1 , it is convenient to define the amplitude ratios $R_n = \varphi_n/\varphi_{n-1}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots N$, and $Q_1 = \varphi_1/\varphi_N$ obeying the equations

$$e^{hc}R_{n+1} + e^{-hc}R_n^{-1} = E - \varepsilon_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots N - 1, \quad (4)$$

$$e^{hc}R_2 + e^{-hc}Q_1^{-1} = E - \varepsilon_1, \quad (5)$$

$$e^{hc}Q_1 + e^{-hc}R_N^{-1} = E - \varepsilon_N, \quad (6)$$

which determine the quantities R_n , $n = 2, 3, \dots N$, and Q_1 as function of an arbitrary energy E , if one disregards the secular equation giving the eigenvalues, which may be written in the form

$$e^{hc} R_2(E) + e^{-hc} \prod_{m=2}^N R_m(E) = E - \varepsilon_1, \quad (7)$$

using (2) and the general definition

$$\varphi_n = \prod_{m=2}^n R_m \cdot \varphi_1, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

The secular equation (7) will be automatically verified by the quantities $R_n(E)$ above whenever E coincides with one of the eigenvalues $E = E_\alpha$.

The reason for studying the exponential variation of the quantities R_m and of the corresponding amplitudes φ_n as a function of a generic energy E , at sites n further and further away from the initial site, is that it permits to obtain the Lyapunov exponent of the electronic eigenstates of the ring on the basis of the Mott-Twose-Borland conjecture[1, 2, 18]. Indeed, Mott, Twose and Borland argue that when E is close to an eigenvalue the exponential rate of variation of the amplitudes φ_n at far away sites n tends to the (largest) exponential rate of localization of the corresponding eigenstate of the ring about a fixed localization centre. The Lyapunov exponent which characterizes the exponential growth (or decay) of φ_n at distant sites ($nc \rightarrow \infty$), at real or complex energies E , is given by[19]

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \lim_{(n \leq N) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nc} \ln |\varphi_n|, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nc} \sum_{p=2}^n \ln |R_p|. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and since λ self-averages to a central limit value[20] we further have

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nc} \sum_{p=2}^n \langle \ln |R_p| \rangle. \quad (10)$$

The Lyapunov exponent may generally take positive- as well as negative values. Since all sites of a ring are equivalent by definition, there is no fundamental distinction between states corresponding to different signs of the Lyapunov exponents. In contrast, for an open chain, a positive Lyapunov exponent is associated with states which are growing as one is moving away from an edge site $n = 1$ while a negative Lyapunov exponent corresponds to a state which decays with increasing distance from the edge. The former state is generally referred to as a localized state while the latter might be termed an antilocalized state[21] to emphasize the fact its amplitude is smallest deep in the interior of the chain.

Assuming the disorder to be weak, we now analyze the perturbative solutions of (4-6) to second order in the site energies ε_i . Since we are interested in the study of the localization length, we only consider solutions as a function of a generic complex energy E , thus ignoring the consistency-or eigenvalue equation (7). In the absence of disorder the solutions of the non-hermitian system (4-6) are of the form

$$R_n^0 = Q_1^0 = e^{iq}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N, \quad (11)$$

and correspond to a generic complex energy

$$E = 2 \cos(q - ihc) \quad (12)$$

expressed in terms of an arbitrary real wavenumber q in units of the lattice parameter. The equation (12) defines energies lying on an ellipse,

$$\frac{(ReE)^2}{\cosh^2 hc} + \frac{(ImE)^2}{\sinh^2 hc} = 4, \quad (13)$$

whose semi-axes $2 \cosh hc$ and $2 \sinh |h|c$ give the half-width of the energy band of edges $\pm 2 \cosh hc$ on the real axis and of the corresponding band with edges $\pm \sinh |h|c$ on the imaginary energy axis, respectively. The meaning of the energies (12) is that they correspond to the domain of extended Bloch state eigenvalues in the absence of disorder, which are given by the special values for $q = 2\pi k/N$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ as a consequence of single-valuedness of the wave functions on the ring, expressed by (7). On the other hand, the meaning of ImE has been discussed by Hatano and Nelson in the context of depinned flux lines[12]. In fact, Hatano and Nelson argue convincingly that complex energies are a necessary feature of the delocalized states which may appear in the depinning transition.

The corrections in R_n and Q_1 at first and the second orders in the energies $\varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, are determined by inserting the expansions $R_n = e^{iq} + R_n^{(1)} + R_n^{(2)}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots, N$, $Q_1 = e^{iq} + Q_1^{(1)} + Q_1^{(2)}$, involving linear and quadratic terms, in the equations (4-6) and identifying terms of the same order in the order by order expansions of them. This leads to the following sets of equations at first and second orders, respectively:

$$R_{n+1}^{(1)} - \tilde{a} R_n^{(1)} = -e^{-hc} \varepsilon_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N-1, \quad (14.a)$$

$$R_2^{(1)} - \tilde{a}^2 R_N^{(1)} = -e^{-hc} (\tilde{a} \varepsilon_N + \varepsilon_1), \quad (14.b)$$

$$R_{n+1}^{(2)} - \tilde{a} R_n^{(2)} = -\tilde{a} e^{-iq} R_n^{(1)2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, N-1, \quad (15.a)$$

$$R_2^{(2)} - \tilde{a}^2 R_N^{(2)} = -\tilde{a}^2 (1 + \tilde{a}) e^{-iq} R_N^{(1)2} + \tilde{a} \sqrt{\tilde{a}} \varepsilon_N (2\tilde{a} R_N^{(1)} - e^{-hc} \varepsilon_N). \quad (15.b)$$

Note that the quantities $Q_1^{(1)}$ and $Q_1^{(2)}$ have been eliminated since they do not enter in the determination of the wavefunction amplitudes (8). In the above equations we have defined

$$\tilde{a} = \exp(-2i\tilde{q}), \quad \tilde{q} = q - ihc, \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{q}$ is a wavenumber with an imaginary part arising from the non-hermitian field.

By solving successively the coupled first and second order difference equations (14.a,b) and (15.a,b) for the quantities $R_n^{(1)}$ and $R_n^{(2)}$ we get:

$$R_n^{(1)} = \tilde{a}^{n-2} R_2^{(1)} - e^{-hc} \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \tilde{a}^{n-m-1} \varepsilon_m, \quad n = 3, 4, \dots, N, \quad (17.a)$$

$$R_2^{(1)} = \frac{e^{-hc}}{\tilde{a}^N - 1} \left(\varepsilon_1 + \tilde{a} \varepsilon_N + \tilde{a} \sum_{m=2}^{N-1} \tilde{a}^{N-m} \varepsilon_m \right), \quad (17.b)$$

$$R_n^{(2)} = \tilde{a}^{n-2} R_2^{(2)} - e^{hc} \sqrt{\tilde{a}} \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \tilde{a}^{n-m} \left(R_m^{(1)} \right)^2, \quad n = 3, 4, \dots, N, \quad (18.a)$$

$$R_2^{(2)} = \frac{e^{hc} \sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}^N - 1} \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{a}} \left(e^{-hc} \varepsilon_1 + R_2^{(1)} \right)^2 + \sum_{m=2}^N \tilde{a}^{N-m+2} \left(R_m^{(1)} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (18.b)$$

It should be noted that the expression for $R_2^{(1)}$ and $R_2^{(2)}$, equations (17.b) and (18.b), are invalid at zero field, where they diverge at the energies $E = 2 \cos q$, $q = \frac{2\pi k}{N}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ corresponding to the eigenvalues of the ordered ring for $h = 0$. In fact, as is well known, perturbation theory for eigenstate energies in a weakly disordered ring also diverges for $h = 0$ [17]. This strongly suggests that the Lyapunov exponents of the zero field eigenstates in the ring may not be discussed by perturbation theory.

The next step is then to expand $\ln(\text{Re } R_p)$ in (10) up to second order terms[22],

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |R_p| &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{-iq} R_p^{(1)} + c.c.) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-iq} \left(R_p^{(2)} - \frac{e^{-iq}}{2} R_p^{(1)2} \right) + c.c. \right], \quad p = 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and to obtain the averages of the quantities on the r.h.s. using the explicit expressions (17.a), (17.b) and (18.a), (18.b). Here we assume the ε_i 's to be identically distributed, independent gaussian variables with mean zero and a correlation $\langle \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \rangle = \varepsilon_0^2 \delta_{i,j}$, where the choice $\varepsilon_0^2 = W^2/12$ would correspond to using the familiar rectangular distribution of width W . The determination of the non-zero averages proceeds by first finding the averages $\langle R_2^{(1)2} \rangle$ and $\langle R_p^{(1)2} \rangle$, $p \neq 2$, from (17.a) and (17.b), which are then inserted in (18.a) and (18.b) for obtaining the averages $\langle R_2^{(2)} \rangle$ and $\langle R_p^{(2)} \rangle$, $p \neq 2$. The sums over lattice sites in these various averages are geometric progressions which are easily handled. The final form of the averages of interest are remarkably simple since we obtain

$$\langle R_p^{(1)2} \rangle = \langle R_2^{(1)2} \rangle = \langle R_N^{(1)2} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2 e^{-2hc}}{(1 - \tilde{a}^2)} \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{a}^N}{1 - \tilde{a}^N} \right), \quad (20.a)$$

$$\langle R_p^{(2)} \rangle = \langle R_2^{(2)} \rangle = \langle R_N^{(2)} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2 e^{-hc} \sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{(1 - \tilde{a})(1 - \tilde{a}^2)} \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{a}^N}{1 - \tilde{a}^N} \right), \quad p = 3, 4, \dots, N-1. \quad (20.b)$$

This reflects the independence of these quantities of the initial site(s) for the recursive solution of the tight-binding equations, as expected. By substituting these results in (19) and (10) we obtain our final expression for Lyapunov exponent ($N \rightarrow \infty$) to second order in the disorder,

$$\lambda = -\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \frac{\tilde{a}}{(1-\tilde{a})^2} \left(\frac{1+\tilde{a}^N}{1-\tilde{a}^N} \right) + c.c., \quad (21)$$

where we have used the definition (16). The equation (21) is valid for complex energies corresponding to the energy band (13) of the pure ring in a non-hermitian field $h \neq 0$.

The above analysis may be extended in principle to the description of the IDLL for real eigenvalue states. It suffices to choose the parameter q in (11) to be complex with the forms

$$q = i\kappa \quad , \quad (22.a)$$

$$q = \pi + i\kappa \quad , \quad (22.b)$$

where κ is real. Indeed the values (22.a,b) define generic real energies

$$E = \pm 2 \cosh(\kappa - hc) \quad , \quad (23)$$

which together with (11) and (22.a,b) are solutions of (4-6) for the pure system. Eigenvalues of the non-disordered ring obtained by inserting (11), (22.a,b) and (23) in (7) correspond to $\kappa = 0$ i.e. to the real band edges $\pm 2 \cosh hc$. On the other hand, the perturbation of eigenstate energies for weak disorder does not lead to real eigenvalues apart from the edges ($\pm 2 \cosh hc$) of the $Re E$ -domain of the complex eigenvalues [14,17]. Therefore the perturbation study of the IDLL for real energies is of no interest.

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A. Validity of perturbation theory

In this subsection we demonstrate the validity of the perturbation expansion of Sect.II by showing that when applied to the case of an open disordered chain it recovers the well-known Thouless formula for the inverse localization length in the absence of an IVP. Here an open disordered chain is defined as a segment of length Nc which is connected at both ends to semi-infinite non-disordered discrete chains. The presence of semi-infinite non-disordered chains is indeed required for the existence of a transmission coefficient T related to the inverse localization length by $T \approx e^{-\lambda Nc}$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$ [23]. This implies that for an open chain the solution of equation (4) in the absence of disorder is still given by (for $h = 0$)

$$R_n^{(0)} = e^{iq} \quad , \quad E = 2 \cos q \quad , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots N. \quad (24)$$

In order to study the Lyapunov exponent for a large open chain for weak disorder it is then sufficient to solve the perturbation equations (14.a) and (15.a) alone in terms of arbitrary finite φ_1 and $R_2^{(1)}, R_2^{(2)}$ in the expansion

$$R_2 = e^{iq} + R_2^{(1)} + R_2^{(2)} + \dots \quad . \quad (25)$$

The relevant averages in (10), using (19) are obtained from (17.a) and (18.a) for $h = 0$ and are given by (with $a = e^{-2iq}$)

$$\langle R_p^{(1)2} \rangle = a^{2(p-2)} R_2^{(1)2} + \varepsilon_0^2 e^{-2h} \left(\frac{1 - a^{2(p-2)}}{1 - a^2} \right), \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_p^{(2)} \rangle &= a^{2(p-2)} (R_2^{(2)} - e^{hc} \sqrt{a} R_2^{(1)2}) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0^2 e^{-hc} \frac{\sqrt{a} a^{p-1}}{(1 - a^2)(1 - a)} [1 + a^{-1} - a^{p-3} - a^{-p+2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By inserting these results in (19) and noting that only terms independent of p contribute to the Lyapunov (10) we finally obtain, using (24),

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\xi} = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \frac{1}{4 - E^2} \quad , \quad (28)$$

which is the well-known Thouless formula[3, 7], for the ILL showing that all eigenstates with eigenvalues lying in the band (24) are exponentially localized (with the usual proviso that the Mott-Twose-Borland argument about the connection between eigenstates and exponentially growing solutions from both ends of a long open chain, at an energy E , is valid).

B. Directed localization on a ring

In the presence of an IVP, the rates of hopping from a given site to its nearest neighbour to the left- and to the right hand sides on the ring are different. This asymmetry of the hopping rates is reflected in the antisymmetry of the Lyapunov exponent of the eigenstates under reversal of the non-hermitian field h ,

$$\lambda(-h) = -\lambda(h), \quad (29)$$

which is demonstrated explicitly by the equation (21), to lowest non-vanishing order in the gaussian disorder. To our knowledge this important antisymmetry property has not been discussed before. The physical interpretation of (29) is simple. We might expect the Lyapunov exponent in (21) to describe a symmetric exponentially localized state: this means that since $\lambda(h)$ determines the exponential growth (if $\lambda(h) > 0$) of an initial amplitude φ_1 with increasing $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then the corresponding exponent describing the growth of the localized state with decreasing $n = N, N - 1, N - 2, \dots$ should be $-\lambda(h)$. The fact that the Lyapunov exponent defining the exponential evolution of the initial wavefunction with decreasing

$n = N, N - 1, N - 2, \dots$ is indeed $-\lambda(h)$ is explicitly verified in Appendix A. On the other hand, the Schrödinger equation (1-3) shows that the rates of hopping from a given site to its left- and right neighbours, respectively, are interchanged when h is replaced by $-h$. This implies that the rates of exponential evolution of the initial wavefunction are also interchanged when h is replaced by $-h$ i.e. the antisymmetry property (29) holds. Finally, we recall that according to the Mott-Borland [1,2,18] matching condition the symmetrically growing (or decaying) initial wavefunction in the two directions on the ring, respectively, lead to proper localized eigenstates at energies corresponding to complex eigenvalues of (1-3).

The further detailed properties of the IDLL defined for an infinitely large ring ($Nc \rightarrow \infty$) are as follows. For $N|h|c \gg 1$ (21) reduces to the central limit result

$$\lambda = \lambda_\infty \text{sign } h, \quad (30)$$

where λ_∞ , which is independent of the sign of h , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\infty &= -\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \frac{\tilde{a}}{(1 - \tilde{a})^2} + c.c. \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4 - E^2} + c.c. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and defines the IDLL $1/\xi = |\lambda| = |\lambda_\infty|$ at complex energies E of the form (12).

In the following discussion we regard the Lyapunov exponent λ and the IDLL as functions of ReE alone, which are obtained by eliminating ImE in favour of ReE using (13). The main properties of the Lyapunov exponent (30-31) are:

i. For $h > 0$, λ is positive in the interval

$$-E_d < ReE < E_d, \quad (32)$$

where

$$E_d = \frac{2 \cosh hc}{\sqrt{1 + \tanh^2 hc}}. \quad (33)$$

It possesses a maximum of magnitude

$$\lambda = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{8} \frac{1}{1 + \sinh^2 hc} \quad (34)$$

at the band centre, $ReE = 0$, and vanishes at the values $ReE = \pm E_d$. The equation (34) shows that the IDLL decreases with increasing $|h|c$ within the domain $N|h|c \gg 1$. Finally λ is negative in the domains extending from E_d to the upper band edge, $2 \cosh hc$, and from $-E_d$ to the lower band edge, $-2 \cosh hc$, respectively; at the band edges its value is

$$\lambda = -\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{8 \sinh^2 hc} \quad (35)$$

ii. For $h < 0$, λ is negative in the interval (32), with a minimum of magnitude (34), and vanishes at $ReE = \pm E_d$. λ is positive in the complementary intervals of (32) contained in the real energy band $-2 \cosh hc \leq ReE \leq 2 \cosh hc$ and takes minus the value (35) at the band edges.

It follows from the above analytical results that the eigenstates of an infinite ring in the complex energy domain (13) are localized, except possible eigenstates with eigenvalues $ReE = \pm E_d$, whose localization lengths would be diverging. On the other hand, in the limit of very strong fields ($|h|c \gg 1$) where the effect of the disorder becomes negligible, the IDLL (31) vanishes asymptotically, at the considered complex energies, as

$$\lambda \sim -\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \cos 2q \operatorname{sign} h e^{-2hc \operatorname{sign} h}, \quad (36)$$

so that the wavefunction amplitudes, $\varphi_n \equiv |\varphi_n| e^{i\theta_n} = e^{iqn}$ are delocalized in this limit [12].

Application to finite rings

We now turn to the application of the above results in the case of a finite ring of circumference Nc . Here only states whose localization lengths are shorter than Nc are said to be localized while eigenstates with localization lengths (finite or not) larger than Nc are referred to as delocalized. The other important aspect is that the discreteness of the energy spectrum of a finite ring imposes a specific restriction

on the validity of the perturbative study of a weak disorder. This restriction exists over and above the general strong field requirement which is necessary in order that the disorder may be treated as a small perturbation in both the real and imaginary parts of the energy. We use the results of our recent calculation of the eigenvalues of (1-3) [17] to explicitate the supplementary condition which must be obeyed by the perturbation parameter of the disorder in a finite ring. This condition will allow us to derive an upper bound for the IDLL of the form (31).

The discrete zeroth order eigenenergies of the ring are given by

$$E_0(q_k) = 2 \cosh hc \cos q_k + 2i \sinh hc \sin q_k, \quad q_k = \frac{2\pi k}{N}, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \dots \quad (37)$$

For weak random site energies with zero mean value and a gaussian correlation, $\langle \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \rangle = \varepsilon_0^2 \delta_{i,j}$, the averaged second order correction to the energy levels (37) is given by [17]

$$\langle E^{(2)}(q_k) \rangle \simeq -\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \frac{\cot N(q_k - ihc)}{\sin(q_k - ihc)} \quad (38)$$

The standard heuristic analysis of convergence of non-degenerate Schrödinger perturbation theory [24] now shows that $\langle E^{(2)}(q_k) \rangle$ must be small compared with the separation $\Delta E_0(q_k) = E_0(q_{k+1}) - E_0(q_k)$ of the unperturbed energy levels (37) for convergence to be ensured. By separating the real and imaginary parts in (37-38) the convergence conditions of the perturbation theory read

$$|Re\langle E^{(2)}(q_k) \rangle| \ll |\Delta Re E_0(q_k)| \quad (39.a)$$

$$|Im\langle E^{(2)}(q_k) \rangle| \ll |\Delta Im E_0(q_k)| \quad (39.b)$$

where

$$\Delta E_0(q_k) \simeq \frac{4\pi}{Nc} (-\cosh hc \sin q_k + i \sinh hc \cos q_k) \quad (40)$$

$$\langle E^{(2)}(q_k) \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \text{sign } h \frac{(-\cos q_k \sinh hc + i \sin q_k \cosh hc)}{\sin^2 q_k + \sinh^2 hc} \quad (41)$$

for $N|h|c \gg 1$.

It follows from (40-41) that the inequalities (39.a,b) are not both fulfilled for $q_k = 0, \pi$ and for $q_k = \pi/2$ i.e. at the band edges along the real and complex energy axes, respectively. For illustration of the condition (39.a,b) at typical intermediate q_k -values we choose $q_k = \pi/4$. For this value the Lyapunov exponent given by (31) and (12) takes the form

$$\lambda_\infty = \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4 \cosh^2(2hc)}, \quad q_k = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (42)$$

Using (41-42), the inequality (39.a) may be rewritten in terms of the localization length $\xi = |\lambda_\infty|^{-1}$ in the form

$$\xi >> \frac{Nc |\tanh hc| \cosh^2(2hc)}{2\pi (1 + 2 \sinh^2 hc)}, \quad q_k = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (43)$$

while (39.b) takes the same form with $|\tanh hc|$ replaced by $|\coth hc|$, thus implying a larger lower bound for ξ than (43). As mentioned earlier the perturbative treatment of the disorder is generally valid for sufficiently large fields only. In this context, (43) shows that the lower bound of ξ , which increases with increasing h , is already larger than the ring circumference for fields of relatively moderate strength, of the order of $hc = 1.3$. This leads to the important conclusion that the complex eigenenergy states in a finite ring are delocalized (and correspond to depinning of the associated flux line system [12]) in the domain of field strengths where a perturbative treatment of the disorder is valid. These results provide a detailed analytical confirmation of the suggestion of Hatano and Nelson that the complex energy eigenstates are delocalized.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have calculated analytically the Lyapunov exponent, $\lambda(h)$, for the eigenstates of a random circular chain in a strong non-hermitian field h , for complex energies, to order ε_0^2 in the disorder.

In a finite ring the discrete nature of the energy spectrum entails a specific restriction on the validity of the perturbative treatment of the disorder which implies the existence of a lower bound for the localization length $\xi = |\lambda(h)|^{-1}$ in (31). This shows that the localization lengths at intermediate energies within the complex energy band are larger than the circumference of the ring at the strong fields of interest in the perturbation theory. It follows that the complex energy eigenstates in a finite ring are delocalized, in agreement with the suggestion of Hatano and Nelson [12].

The analytic argument of HN for showing the existence of a transition from localized to delocalized states in an IVP is based on an imaginary gauge transformation, $\varphi(x) = \exp(gx/\hbar)\psi(x)$ (with $g/\hbar \equiv h$), which eliminates the IVP, $-ig$, from the Schrödinger equation for their continuous random hamiltonian for a ring of length L_x . In their analysis HN implicitly replace the twisted boundary condition (which is well-known in the context of real vector potentials [25,26]) for the transformed wavefunction, namely

$$\psi(x + L_x) = e^{-\frac{gL_x}{\hbar}} \psi(x), \quad (44)$$

by the periodic boundary condition, $\psi(x + L_x) = \psi(x)$, appropriate for a ring in the absence of g . In general this approximation is valid only for a very weak IVP such that $\frac{|g|}{\hbar} \ll 1/L_x$. HN have obtained localized right and left eigenstates, for weak IVP, from the localized states (with localization lengths $\xi_n < Nc$) of the ring for $g = 0$. These states are insensitive to the actual boundary condition (44) if e.g. the localization length in the case of the right eigenstates, $(1/\xi_n - |g|/\hbar)^{-1}$ [12], is small compared to Nc . In this case therefore the validity of the replacement of (44) by a periodic boundary condition extends to a range of somewhat larger values of g , namely $|g|/\hbar \ll 1/\xi_n > 1/L_x$. It remains, however, that the analytic discussion of

HN is restricted to a domain of weak fields for the real eigenvalue localized states. In contrast the study of energy eigenstates in Sect. II and III applies in a domain of large fields, $|h| \geq 1/c$, where the detailed nature of delocalized complex eigenenergy states of a finite ring has not been studied analytically before.

Appendix

Here we calculate the Lyapunov exponent describing the evolution of the wavefunction amplitude at the successive neighbours $N, N-1, N-2, \dots, N-n, \dots$ of the site 1 along the direction opposite to that considered in Sect. II. From successive recursions of (14.a) we obtain

$$R_{N-n}^{(1)} = \tilde{a}^{-n} R_N^{(1)} + e^{-hc} \sum_{m=1}^n \tilde{a}^{m-n-1} \varepsilon_{N-m} \quad , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-2 \quad , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and from (14.b) and (A.1) taken at $n = N-1$,

$$R_N^{(1)} = \frac{e^{-hc}}{1 - \tilde{a}^{-N}} \left[\tilde{a}^{-2} (\tilde{a} \varepsilon_N + \varepsilon_1) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-2} \tilde{a}^{m-N-1} \varepsilon_{N-m} \right] \quad . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Similarly, from (15.a) we find

$$R_{N-n}^{(2)} = \tilde{a}^{-n} R_N^{(2)} + e^{-iq} \sum_{m=1}^n \tilde{a}^{m-n} R_{N-m}^{(1)2} \quad , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-2 \quad , \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and from (15.b) with $R_2^{(2)}$ given by the $n = N-2$ equation of (A.3),

$$R_N^{(2)} = \frac{e^{-iq}}{1 - \tilde{a}^{-N}} \left[(1 + \tilde{a}) R_N^{(1)2} - e^{iq} (\sqrt{\tilde{a}})^{-1} \varepsilon_N (2\tilde{a} R_N^{(1)} - e^{-hc} \varepsilon_N) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-2} \tilde{a}^{m-N} R_{N-m}^{(1)2} \right] \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The Lyapunov exponent is defined by (with $\varphi_{N-n} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} R_{N-m}^{-1} Q_1^{-1} \varphi_1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \lim_{(n \leq N) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nC} \ln |\varphi_{N-n}| \\ &= - \lim_{(n \leq N) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nC} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \langle \ln |R_{N-p}| \rangle + \langle \ln |Q_1| \rangle \right) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\ln |R_{N-p}|$ is expanded, as in (19), to second order in the site energies and the term proportional to $\ln \langle |Q_1| \rangle$ vanishes for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The disorder averages $\langle R_{N-p}^{(1)2} \rangle$, $\langle R_N^{(2)} \rangle$, $\langle R_{N-p}^{(2)} \rangle$ and $\langle R_N^{(2)} \rangle$ involved in the expansion of $\langle \ln |R_{N-p}| \rangle$ are computed from the exact solutions (A.1-A.4) and are found to coincide with the site-independent values (20.a,b), as expected. From these results we then obtain

$$\lambda = - \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4} \frac{\tilde{a}}{(1 - \tilde{a})^2} \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{a}^{-N}}{1 - \tilde{a}^{-N}} \right) + \text{c.c.} \quad , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which coincides with minus the Lyapunov exponent (21) along the opposite direction on the ring, as expected.

References

- [1] N.F. Mott and W.D. Twose, Adv. Phys. **10**, 137 (1961).
- [2] R.E. Borland, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A.274**, 529 (1963).
- [3] D.J. Thouless, in Ill-Condensed Matter, edited by R. Balian, R. Maynard and G. Toulouse (North Holland Amsterdam, 1979).
- [4] M. Kappus and F. Wegner, Z. Phys. **B45**, 15 (1981).
- [5] C.J. Lambert, Phys. Rev. **B29**, 1091 (1984).
- [6] B. Derrida and E.J. Gardner, J.Phys. (Paris) **45**, 1283 (1984).
- [7] See also J.M. Luck, Systemes Desordonnes Unidimensionnels (Alea, Saclay, 1992) and references therein; J.B. Pendry, Adv.Phys. **43**, 461 (1994).
- [8] M. B ttiker, Y. Imry and R. Landauer, Phys.Lett. **96A**, 365 (1983).
- [9] H.F. Cheung, Y. Gefen, E.K. Riedel and W.H. Shih, Phys.Rev. **B37**, 6050 (1988).
- [10] O.N. Dorokhov, Sov.Phys. JETP **74**, 518 (1992).
- [11] L.P. Levy *et al.* Phys.Rev.Lett. **64**, 2074 (1990); V. Chandrasekhar *et al.*, *ibid.* **67**, 3578 (1991); D. Mailly *et al.*, *ibid.* **70**, 2020 (1993).
- [12] N. Hatano and D.R. Nelson, Phys.Rev.Lett. **77**, 570 (1996); *ibid.* Phys.Rev. **B56**, 8651 (1997).
- [13] K.B. Efetov, Phys.Rev.Lett. **79**, 491 (1997); *ibid.* Phys.Rev. **B56**, 9630 (1997).
- [14] P.W. Brouwer, P.G. Silvestrov and C.W.J. Beenakker, Phys.Rev. **B56**, R4333 (1997).
- [15] I.Y. Goldsheid and B.A. Khoruzhenko, Phys.Rev.Lett., **80**, 2897 (1998); C. Mudry *et al.*, *ibid.* **80**, 4257 (1998); N.M. Shnerb and D.R. Nelson, *ibid.* **80**, 5172 (1998); J.T. Chalker and B. Mehlig, *ibid.* **81**, 3367 (1998); J.S. Caux, *ibid.* **81**, 4196 (1998); P.G. Silvestrov, *ibid.* **82**, 3140 (1999); J. Feinberg and A. Zee, Nucl.Phys. **B 504**, 579 (1997); E. Brezin and A. Zee, *ibid.* **509**, 599 (1998); P.G. Silvestrov, Phys.Rev. **B 58**, R10111 (1998); N. Hatano and D.R. Nelson, *ibid.* **58**, 8384 (1998).
- [16] C. Mudry, P.W. Brouwer and B.I. Halperin, Phys.Rev., **B58**, 13539 (1998).
- [17] J. Heinrichs, Phys. Rev. **B63**, 165108-1(2001).
- [18] A. Crisanti, G. Paladin and A. Vulpiani, Products of Random Matrices in Statistical Physics (Springer, New York, 1993).
- [19] For brevity's sake we do not discuss the phase of asymptotic wave functions i.e. the imaginary part of the characteristic exponent (or complex Lyapunov exponent) which may usually be related to the integrated density of states.

- [20] H. F rstenberg, Trans. Ann. Math. Soc., **108** , 377 (1963); V.I. Oseledec, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **19**, 197 (1968).
- [21] J. Heinrichs, Phys. Rev. **B51**, 5699 (1995).
- [22] We note, however, that the weak disorder expansion is not valid at sites arbitrarily far away from the initial site. However, it has been shown (for a semi-infinite disordered chain and $\hbar = 0$) that the central limit value for the ILL is actually attained by iterating to the much shorter distances corresponding to the range of validity of the weak disorder expansion, provided E differs from the band centre and from the band edges where the ILL is anomalous. (J. Heinrichs, Phys. Rev. **B50**, 5295 (1994)).
- [23] K. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Supplement), **53**, **77** (1973).
- [24] See e.g. H.A. Kramers, Quantum Mechanics (Dover Publications, New York, 1964).
- [25] N. Byers and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 46 (1961).
- [26] F. Bloch, Phys. Rev. **137**, A787 (1965); ibid. **166**, 415 (1968); ibid. **B2**, 109 (1970).